Application No. Applicant(s) 10/625.910 GRADE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian P Mruk 1751 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Brian P Mruk. (4)_____ (2) Chris Beck. Date of Interview: 24 September 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 6 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. q) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached between the examiner and the attorney to amend instant claim 6 to recite that the surfactant is added to a polymerization medium, and to amend instant claim 10 to replace the use of" clause with a specific process step, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment" (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Brun P. Mult 9/24/04
Examiner's signature, if required